

Evaluation: Ollerton Hall preferred bidders

APPENDIX A

Criteria	Weighting		A	B	C	Comments
Price & tenure	20%		10	12	20	C offered highest bid B offered middle bid C offered lowest bid, albeit closer to B
Experience	15%		15	3	15	A and C demonstrated extensive experience, B more limited in terms of what was presented.
Appropriateness of end-use	15%		10	5	10	A and C proposed residential uses, both with 'limited' works within grounds. B proposed offices, bit with limited detail.
Extent and nature of renovations	15%		5	10	7	A had most advanced plans, including initial designs but involved interventions and less conservation restorations proposals. B would have least intervention, albeit no detail provided. C has agreed to sign up to an agreed extent of conservation works..
Availability of funding*	15%		15	0	15	A and C clearly demonstrated funding streams available. No such evidence from C. *Due diligence still required on all bidders.
Delivery	20%		15	0	20	Clear that A and C can deliver efficiently, but some reservations as to whether A can satisfy owner requirements for conservation works. No evidence from C on delivery.
Total	100%		70	30	87	
Rank			2nd	3rd	1st	